

Report of the Perranuthnoe Parish
Neighbourhood Plan Open Day

18th February 2016

St Piran's Hall, Goldsithney

Contents

1. Background
2. Format of the Open day
3. Attendees
4. Feedback received
5. Thanks

1. Background

Perranuthnoe Parish Council has agreed in principle to prepare a Neighbourhood Plan for the Parish which includes the settlements of Goldsithney, Perranuthnoe, Rosudgeon and Perran Downs.

In consultation with Cornwall Council the process to prepare a plan has begun with an initial survey of residents undertaken in late 2014 and the results analysed and reviewed. Details of the results can be found at <http://www.perranuthnoepc.info/neighbourhood-plan/>

Cornwall Council formally agreed to support the preparation of a plan in March 2015

2. Format of the Open day

The Open Day was held from 3pm to 7pm on Thursday 18th February in the small meeting room at St Piran's Hall, South Road, Goldsithney. The hall has level access and a large car park.

Visitors were greeted by a member of the Parish Council who registered their attendance and explained the format for the day. A presentation was given throughout the open day of what a Neighbourhood Plan involved, its statutory background and the specific issues for a plan for Perranuthnoe Parish.

A series of boards were displayed containing the main issues raised by residents in the local survey and visitors were encouraged to add the comments, issues and solutions to each board via post-it notes.

3. Attendees

Visitors were asked to register their attendance in order that the Parish Council could analyse whether there was a reasonable

representative geographic and demographic spread of attendees to the Open Day.

In total there were 45 members of the public attending and 6 members of Perranuthnoe Parish Council. The analysis below indicates an underrepresentation of residents of below 45 and aged over 85 .There is no obviously underrepresented geographical area.

3.1 An analysis of attendees

Residence/Age	<18	18-29	30-45	46-64	65-84	85+	Not Given	Total
Goldsithney	1	0	0	3	13	0	2	19
Perran Downs	0	0	0	2	6	0	0	8
Perranuthnoe /Trebarvah	0	0	0	5	6	0	0	11
Rosudgeon	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	3
Other/Not given	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	4
Total	1	0	1	14	25	0	2	45

4. Feedback received

A series of tables containing sheets highlighting the main issues identified in the 2014 residents surveys. They had the following headings.

- Conservation of landscape and settlements
- Design of housing in keeping with the character of the parish
- Traffic and parking
- Possible limit on second homes and holiday homes
- Other identified issues

The points raised under each heading are as follows;

4.1 Conservation of landscape and settlements

We have to have 120 plots (council statement) look at the most environmental friendly options

Better to have a well-designed modest development rather than individual large houses using lots of land at the edge of villages

Planning for new homes is an opportunity to insist on contributions to better facilities-footpaths-parking

Allow more 'social housing' to be built.

Affordable homes not the answer, it is social housing that is needed.

One bed to rent (council tenants) and disabled friendly are desperately needed.

New builds should need to blend in with the area and existing buildings.

New build in keeping with environment to blend in with old granite buildings

Allow houses that blend into the countryside such that when build look like they have always been there.

If we have to have new homes avoid traffic through (Goldsithney) village centre and don't join the villages together.

Keep settlements distinct

Maintain hedgerows wherever possible

New homes which cause more traffic through the village are not an option.

No building on Greenfield sites

4.1.1 Analysis

A wide range of views from no housing on green fields to keeping the settlements distinct, minimising environmental impact and maximising the benefits. Support for social rented homes.

4.2 Design of housing in keeping with the character of the parish

Consult locals on plans of new houses

More information to general public regarding plans

I don't think that any of the buildings in the last 15 years is in keeping-building little boxes

Do not pass planning until plans are up to standard! Oppose County if they are passed.

Be wary of outline designs

Call a bungalow single storey and a chalet 2 storey.

Design of recently built homes lacks imagination.

There is no longer any local style -good design is what is needed

Keep new houses in keeping with houses already in the area-We don't need any more huge houses

Buildings to be in keeping with neighbourhood.

More granite/slate style as would blend in to mitigate visual impact

4.2.1 Analysis

Support for good design in keeping but no consensus on what is the local style.

4.3 Traffic and Parking

4.3.1 Non-geographically specific points

Traffic and parking are ruining our village

Road Humps

Make a quick and comprehensive plan to sort out traffic for this parish.

4.3.2 Specific Perranuthnoe points

Parking in Perranuthnoe is a nightmare. Car park often empty but roads and drives are blocked

Present situation (in Perranuthnoe) is untenable as parking on road into the village can be so narrowed that emergency vehicles could not access. Need a decision from council engineers. Also an ANOB.

Yes more parking in Perranuthnoe especially during the summer.

Car Park before you get into the village.

Are there any fields which could be leased, borrowed or bought?

Maybe some yellow lines

No yellow lines but like St Ives-one sign no parking in the village just car park.

Parking restrictions should be all year around in Perranuthnoe.

4.3.3 Specific Goldsithney points

Village speed to high-20mph was mooted years ago, what happened?

20mph through Goldsithney would be ideal but who enforces?

Enforcement camera with average speed limited through Goldsithney 20 mph.

Reduce speed to 20mph throughout village (other notes;-support this- Thereby drastically increasing pollution)

Reduce speed to 20 mph

Speed limits throughout the village required- it is the M6!

Greater visible use of speed cameras

Traffic through Goldsithney impeded by on street parking. New building should accommodate this. Parking for 2 cars and moving footways both would ease.

New build definitely need their own parking.

No new housing allowed without off road parking for each dwelling (2 spaces each, one for visitors)

Problem with work vehicles of home owners parked in the main street.

People with garages /parking spaces should use them and not the main thoroughfare.

Parking in Goldsithney both sides of the road-a problem when articulated lorries can't get through.

Stop all articulated and wide lorries delivering mobile homes through the village-they should use smaller vehicles

Parking helps slow traffic down. Yellow lines cause traffic to speed up.

Traffic flows should be the other way round in Goldsithney.

The give way to oncoming traffic-many drivers take no notice.

Drainage of the road through the village is not working-still flooding in the narrows (the traffic calming).

Make a pinch point with a population crossing outside the Trevelyan Arms

South Road-suggest speed humps in the last 30yrds before Fore Street to minimise traffic jam and fast traffic approaching the corner

If you control parking to the left of Fore Street where South Road is adjacent the buses will get through.

4.3.4 Footpath/cycleway

A footpath to St Hilary School

Footpath from main road to Perran, there would be room as verges are wide. It is very dangerous with children walking after being dropped off by the school bus at the top of the road.

A foot path and cycleway to Marazion from Goldsithney would be a benefit for safety

Pathway and Cycleway from Goldsithney to Marazion (other notes I agree X3).

Need footpaths and cycleway to Perranuthnoe and Marazion.

4.3.5 Analysis

Clear concern in both Goldsithney and Perranuthnoe in relation to traffic and parking. No consensus on solutions in either village but the concern does endorse the Parish Council activity on these issues.

Clear support for a pathway and cycleway.

4.4 Possible limit on 2nd homes and holiday homes

There are simply not enough homes for children/young people....as second homes driving prices up.

Second homes are usually holiday homes-they kill the villages-We have many already no more should be allowed.

No second homes at all within the village

It's simple, do not allow holiday homes.

Do not allow people to convert their garages into holiday lets.

Holiday/second homes should be charged more taxes-they reduce use of schools and make villages unviable in the off season.

Not totally against either (second homes/holiday homes), it's a balance.

Second homes provide an income source for builders etc....so not all a bad thing

4.4.1 Analysis

No clear consensus but there is recognition that second home and holiday homes affect the economy of the parish.

4.5 Other identified issues

Doctors' surgery

Public transport

Engaging and supporting youth of the Parish

Dog Mess on pavements-please tell the owners

4.5.1 Analysis

No detailed remarks made but these are all issues on the Parish Council's radar.

5. Thanks

Perranuthnoe Parish Council wishes to place on record its thanks to James Hardy of Cornwall Council for his assistance in preparing for the open day

